ORIGINAL

COMMISSIONERS
MIKE GLEASON - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
KRISTIN K. MAYES
GARY PIERCE





ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

2008 FEB 13 A 10: 48

DATE:

FEBRUARY 13, 2008

Arizona Corporation Commission P COMMISSION

DOCKET DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKET NO:

W-02192A-07-0326

FEB 13 2008

TO ALL PARTIES:

DOCKETED BY MY

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Sarah Harpring. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY, INC. (CC&N EXTENSION)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by **4:00** p.m. on or before:

FEBRUARY 22, 2008

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

MARCH 11, 2008 and MARCH 12, 2008

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Secretary's Office at (602) 542-3931.

BRIAN C. McNEIL

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE IN YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA. DATE OF HEARING: PLACE OF HEARING: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: APPEARANCES: Mr. Richard L. Sallquist, SALLQUIST, DRUM & O'CONNOR, P.C., on behalf of Little Park Company, Inc.; and Ms. Kenya Collins, Staff Attorney, Legal Divis behalf of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission. BY THE COMMISSION: On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the ACCONVENCION CONVENCION C		1				
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL IEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF APPROVAL OF AN EXTENSION OF ITS ERRIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE IN YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA. DATE OF HEARING: PLACE OF HEARING: PLACE OF HEARING: APPEARANCES: Mr. Richard L. Sallquist, SALLQUIST, DRUM & O'CONNOR, P.C., on behalf of Little Park Company, Inc.; and Ms. Kenya Collins, Staff Attorney, Legal Divis behalf of the Utilities Division of the A Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certifi Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Ari On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Suff Letter in this docket indicating that Little Park's application had met the sufficiency requir outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this ma	2	COMMISSIONERS				
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE IN YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA. DATE OF HEARING: PLACE OF HEARING: APPEARANCES: Mr. Richard L. Sallquist, SALLQUIST, DRUM & O'CONNOR, P.C., on behalf of Little Park Company, Inc.; and Ms. Kenya Collins, Staff Attorney, Legal Division of the Matter of the Utilities Division of the Matter of the Matter of the Utilities Division of the Matter of the	4	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES				
PLACE OF HEARING: PLACE OF HEARING: PLACE OF HEARING: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: APPEARANCES: Mr. Richard L. Sallquist, SALLQUIST, DRUMI & O'CONNOR, P.C., on behalf of Little Park Company, Inc.; and Ms. Kenya Collins, Staff Attorney, Legal Division behalf of the Utilities Division of the Accorporation Commission. BY THE COMMISSION: On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the Accorporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certification Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Ari On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Sufficiency required outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this magnetic and the sufficiency required to the processing of the processing that the park is application for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this magnetic processing the processing that the processing the hearing in this magnetic processing that the processing the processing that the processing the processing that the processing the processing that the pr	7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY, INC APPROVAL OF AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SER'	S. FOR	OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yvette B. Kinsey¹ APPEARANCES: Mr. Richard L. Sallquist, SALLQUIST, DRUMI & O'CONNOR, P.C., on behalf of Little Park Company, Inc.; and Ms. Kenya Collins, Staff Attorney, Legal Divis behalf of the Utilities Division of the Accompany of the Utilities Division of the Accompany of the Corporation Commission. BY THE COMMISSION: On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the Accompanies and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Ari On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Sufficiency required outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this magnificance.	10	DATE OF HEARING:	November 7	, 2007		
APPEARANCES: Mr. Richard L. Sallquist, SALLQUIST, DRUME & O'CONNOR, P.C., on behalf of Little Park Company, Inc.; and Ms. Kenya Collins, Staff Attorney, Legal Division of the Ecorporation Commission. BY THE COMMISSION: On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the Ecorporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certific Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Aria On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Sufficiency required outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this management.	11	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, Ari	zona		
Ms. Kenya Collins, Staff Attorney, Legal Division of the Utilities Division of the Corporation Commission. BY THE COMMISSION: On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the Accorporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certific Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Ari On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Sufficiency required outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this management.	12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Yvette B. Ki	nsey ¹		
behalf of the Utilities Division of the Accorporation Commission. BY THE COMMISSION: On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the Accorporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certific Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Arian On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Suffic Letter in this docket indicating that Little Park's application had met the sufficiency required outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this management.		APPEARANCES:	& O'CONN	OR, P.C., on behalf of Little Park Water		
On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the A Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certification Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Aria On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Suffaction that Little Park's application had met the sufficiency requiremental outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this material outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.").			behalf of	the Utilities Division of the Arizona		
Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certification Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Arian On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Suffaction that Little Park's application had met the sufficiency requires outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this management.	17	BY THE COMMISSION:				
Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Ari On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Suff Letter in this docket indicating that Little Park's application had met the sufficiency requir outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this ma	18	On May 24, 2007, Little Park Water Company, Inc. ("Little Park") filed with the Arizona				
On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Sufficiency required outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this management.	19	Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certificate of				
Letter in this docket indicating that Little Park's application had met the sufficiency required outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this management.	20	Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water utility service in Yavapai County, Arizona.				
outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."). On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this ma	21	On June 26, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Sufficiency				
On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this ma	22	Letter in this docket indicating that Little Park's application had met the sufficiency requirements				
extension area. On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this ma	23	outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.").				
On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this ma	24	On July 30, 2007, Little Park filed a corrected legal description for the requested CC&N				
	25	extension area.				
27	26	On August 3, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued setting the hearing in this matter for				
Administrative Law Judge Yvette B. Kinsey conducted the hearing in this matter. The Recommended Opi Order was drafted by Administrative Law Judge Sarah N. Harpring.	27 28					

September 24, 2007, and establishing other procedural deadlines.

On August 7, 2007, counsel for Little Park filed a Motion to Continue requesting that the hearing be continued because Little Park's President and sole witness, Stevan Gudovic, was unavailable on September 24, 2007. The Motion also stated that Staff had no objection to a continuance of at least 30 days and stipulated to an extension of the time clock under A.A.C. R14-2-411(C) for the number of days of the continuance.

On September 5, 2007, an Amended Procedural Order was issued continuing the hearing in this matter to November 7, 2007; establishing other procedural deadlines; and extending the time clock accordingly.

On October 1, 2007, Staff filed its Staff Report recommending that the Commission issue an Order Preliminary, rather than a CC&N extension, to Little Park because Little Park's water supply does not comply with the current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") maximum contaminant level ("MCL") for arsenic, and Little Park had not yet received from the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") an exemption from the arsenic MCL.

On October 15, 2007, Little Park filed an Affidavit of Mailing and Publication showing that notice of the application and November 7, 2007, hearing date had been mailed to all customers of record as of October 12, 2007, and that public notice had been published in the *Sedona Red Rock News* on September 19, 2007.

On October 23, 2007, in response to a recommendation in the Staff Report, Little Park filed a proposed Curtailment Tariff.

On November 7, 2007, a full public hearing was held before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. Little Park and Staff appeared through counsel and presented evidence and testimony. No members of the public appeared to give public comment. At the hearing, the ALJ requested that Little Park file three late-filed exhibits and that Staff file one late-filed exhibit. At the close of the hearing, the ALJ took the matter under advisement pending receipt of the late-filed exhibits.

On November 8, 2007, Little Park filed the three late-filed exhibits requested by the ALJ: (1) an August 29, 2007, letter to ADEQ; (2) a November 5, 2007, letter to ADEQ; and (3) a revised

1 Curtailment Tariff.

On November 19, 2007, counsel for Staff filed a Notice of Filing of Late-Filed Exhibits, including the language of an agreed-upon revision to recommendation number 2 in the Staff Report and stating that Staff had found Little Park's revised Curtailment Tariff acceptable and recommended approval.

On December 3, 2007, counsel for Little Park filed a letter clarifying that the corrected legal description filed on July 30, 2007, was only intended to replace the legal description for the Residential Parcel, not the School Parcel, and that Little Park's intention is to have both the Residential Parcel and the School Parcel included in the CC&N extension area.

On December 11, 2007, a Procedural Order was issued requesting Little Park to file, by January 10, 2008, a document supporting or correcting certain testimony provided at the evidentiary hearing and requiring Staff to respond, by January 31, 2008, to Little Park's filing.

On January 9, 2008, Little Park filed a document supporting Little Park's testimony.

On January 31, 2008, Staff filed a response revising its recommended compliance item related to Little Park's ADWR Designation of Adequate Water Supply.

* * * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Little Park is an Arizona public service corporation providing water utility service to approximately 69 residential single-family-unit customers in an approximately one-half square mile area near the Village of Oak Creek, just south of the City of Sedona, in Yavapai County, Arizona. Little Park's CC&N was initially granted to two individuals doing business as Little Park Water Company in Commission Decision No. 42264 (August 1, 1972) and was transferred to Little Park Water Company, Inc. in Commission Decision No. 51435 (October 9, 1980).
- 2. Little Park is an Arizona corporation in good standing with the Commission's Corporations Division and, according to Staff, is in compliance with previous Commission Decisions.

11

12

13 14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26 27

- 3. On May 24, 2007, Little Park filed with the Commission an application to extend its CC&N to provide service to the existing Verde Valley School ("School").
- 4. The CC&N extension area, the legal description for which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, includes a 72.769 acre parcel and a 78.790 acre parcel, for a total of 151.559 acres, slightly less than one-quarter square mile. The eastern boundary and part of the northern boundary of the proposed CC&N extension area abut Little Park's current CC&N area, as shown in a map prepared by Staff, attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- Little Park included with its application a copy of its Franchise Agreement approved by the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors on August 16, 1999, which includes the proposed CC&N extension area and is effective until August 16, 2014.
- 6. Little Park had notice of the application and November 7, 2007, hearing date published in *The Sedona Red Rock News* on September 19, 2007, and mailed notice of the application and hearing to all customers of record and the School on October 12, 2007. Per the Amended Procedural Order issued on September 5, 2007, the deadline for Little Park to mail this notice was September 28, 2007. Although Little Park failed to mail notice in a timely manner, the late-mailed notice did not prejudice Little Park's customers or the School because newspaper publication had been made in a timely manner, and notice was mailed 26 days before the hearing date.
- Little Park's water system consists of two active wells producing a total of 128 gallons 7. per minute ("GPM"), three storage tanks totaling 27,600 gallons, and a distribution system serving 69 service connections as of November 7, 2007. Little Park's water system is interconnected, with an 8inch water main for fire flow protection and a 2-inch by-pass master-meter capable of providing flows up to 160 GPM, to the water system of Big Park Water Company, which shares common ownership and serves approximately 3,000 customers.
- 8. Little Park submitted with its application a Water Facilities Line Extension Agreement ("Agreement") entered into on April 12, 2007, with the School. Under the Agreement, the School will pay all of the costs to construct, install, and connect the water facilities necessary for Little Park to provide water utility services to the School.
 - According to Staff, the School, a preparatory academy and boarding school, has been 9.

in existence since 1948 and currently provides its own water service from an existing well, with an average water demand of 16,600 gallons per day ("GPD"). The School has an enrollment of approximately 120 students and operates nine months out of the year, closing for the summer months.

- 10. Based on historical growth rates, Staff anticipates that Little Park's current CC&N area could grow to approximately 85 connections at the end of five years. Using the School's estimated average water demand of 16,600 GPD (equal to 15 connections), Staff estimates a projected total customer base of approximately 100 at the end of five years.
- 11. Staff believes that Little Park's existing system has adequate water sources and storage capacity to serve the existing CC&N area and proposed CC&N extension area within a conventional five-year planning period and can reasonably be expected to develop additional production and storage as required in the future.
- 12. Stevan Gudovic, President of Little Park, testified that Little Park can meet the demand for water in the proposed CC&N extension area using only one of Little Park's wells except during the summer months, when the demand doubles and the second well will be needed. (Tr. at 28, lines 5-15.)
 - 13. Staff stated that the School uses a tank and leach field system for sewer service.
- 14. According to Mr. Gudovic, the School will use its own well water for irrigation purposes, and Little Park will provide water only for domestic purposes and fire protection. (Tr. at 10, lines 6-10.) The Agreement, however, requires construction and installation of "all water facilities necessary to provide adequate water service for domestic, fire and irrigation" to the School. (Ex. A-1, Att. B, at 1.)
- 15. Little Park estimates the total cost for the new water facilities to serve the School at \$366,754, \$277,504 of which will be funded through refundable advances in aid of construction ("AIAC") and \$89,250 of which will be funded through non-refundable contributions in aid of construction ("CIAC"). Staff believes that the estimated cost is reasonable and has stated that Staff will further evaluate the estimated cost when Little Park submits the Agreement for Commission approval in the future.
 - 16. Mr. Gudovic testified that the construction of the water facilities will be completed in

August to November 2008, (Tr. at 10, lines 11-14), but that the School has not yet received an Approval to Construct² from ADEQ, (Tr. at 22, lines 10-13). Mr. Gudovic estimated that the School will receive an Approval to Construct from ADEQ in approximately February to May 2008. (Tr. at 22, lines 10-14.)

- 17. According to Mr. Gudovic, Little Park will charge the rates authorized under its current tariffs for service provided in the CC&N extension area, will provide the same level of service as is provided to its existing customers, and will comply with Commission rules and regulations. (Tr. at 22, lines 15-23.)
- 18. During the pendency of this matter, in response to a recommendation in the Staff Report, Little Park filed in this docket a proposed Curtailment Tariff. At the hearing, Staff testified that there were deficiencies in Little Park's proposed Curtailment Tariff, (Tr. at 48-51), and Little Park agreed through counsel to remedy those deficiencies in a revised Curtailment Tariff, (Tr. at 54, lines 17-19). Little Park filed a revised Curtailment Tariff as a late-filed exhibit. Staff has reviewed Little Park's revised Curtailment Tariff and has stated that it is acceptable and should be approved.
- 19. Little Park has an approved backflow prevention tariff that became effective on July 8, 1992.

Compliance with Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") Requirements

- 20. According to Staff, Little Park's CC&N area is not located within an Active Management Area and is not subject to any ADWR reporting and conservation requirements.
- 21. Little Park holds a Designation of Adequate Water Supply ("Designation") for its service area, issued by ADWR in November 2000. Mr. Gudovic testified that, because the CC&N extension area is considered to be commercial property, ADWR does not require Little Park to modify the service area boundaries for its Designation, but only to report regularly the water consumed for both the service area and the CC&N extension area. (Tr. at 16, lines 7-25; Tr. at 17, lines 1-16.) In addition, Mr. Gudovic testified that Little Park cannot request a modification of its Designation because the CC&N extension area is commercial property. (Tr. at 17, lines 7-16.)

² ADEQ requires an Approval to Construct before a public water system may begin modification of an existing system, including an extension to an existing system. (A.A.C. R18-5-505(B).)

- 22. In light of Mr. Gudovic's testimony, Staff eliminated its recommendation that Little Park be required to file a letter from ADWR indicating that the CC&N extension area is included within Little Park's Designation. At the hearing, Staff and Little Park agreed to revised language for that recommendation. (Tr. at 54, lines 20-25; Tr. at 55, lines 1-25; Tr. at 56, line 1.) In a late-filed exhibit filed on November 19, 2007, Staff included the revised language, which recommended that Little Park be required file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within two years of the effective date of an Order in this proceeding, a letter indicating that the CC&N extension area's water consumption is reported with Little Park's annual Designation report to ADWR.
- Because A.A.C. R12-15-715(D) provides that a designated provider may request a modification of a Designation of Adequate Water Supply at any time pursuant to A.A.C. R12-15-714, a Procedural Order was issued on December 11, 2007, requiring Little Park to file, by January 10, 2008, a document either supporting or correcting Mr. Gudovic's testimony related to Little Park's duties related to its Designation and Little Park's ability to have its Designation modified. The Procedural Order also required Staff to file a response to Little Park's filing by January 31, 2008.
- On January 9, 2008, Little Park filed a document stating that there is no ADWR statute or rule that requires Little Park's Designation to be modified because there is neither a subdivision that requires a Letter of Adequacy nor a related distribution system, and the total water demand for the existing area and the CC&N extension area does not exceed the Designation's allocation. Little Park's filing also asserted that Doug Dunham, Deputy Assistant Director for Water Management for ADWR, had confirmed the accuracy of Mr. Gudovic's testimony that although there is no requirement to change the Designation, the water sold to the School will need to be reported in Little Park's annual reporting of sales to ADWR under the Designation. Little Park requested that the CC&N extension be issued without any requirement or condition pertaining to additional ADWR approvals.
- 25. On January 31, 2008, Staff filed a response to Little Park's filing, stating that Staff is still of the opinion that Little Park should demonstrate to the Commission that the CC&N extension will not adversely affect Little Park's Designation. Staff recommended that the previous recommendation from its late-filed exhibit be revised to include a recommendation that Little Park be

required to file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within two years after the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

effective date of the order in this proceeding (1) a letter indicating that the CC&N extension area's water consumption is reported with Little Park's annual Designation report to ADWR and (2) a letter

from ADWR stating that Little Park's Designation continues in effect.

Compliance with Arizona Department of Revenue Requirements

Mr. Gudovic testified that Little Park is current on both its state property taxes and 26. sales taxes. (Tr. at 21, lines 21-24.)

Compliance with ADEQ Requirements

According to Staff, Little Park's water system has no deficiencies, and ADEQ has 27. determined that the system is currently delivering water that meets the water quality standards required by A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 4.

Arsenic in the Water Supply

- At the hearing, Mr. Gudovic testified that the School requested Little Park to provide 28. water utility services to the School so that the School would not have to bring the water from the School's well into compliance with the new EPA MCL for arsenic.³ (Tr. at 38, lines 16-25; Tr. at 39, lines 1-4.) According to the Staff Report, Little Park reported that the School entered into the Agreement with Little Park to resolve its fire flow and arsenic requirements.
- The new EPA MCL for arsenic is 10 parts per billion ("ppb"). According to Staff, the 29. water from the School's well has tested at 25 ppb for arsenic.
- Little Park has an approved Arsenic Impact Hook-Up Fee ("Arsenic HUF") Tariff that 30. became effective on June 1, 2005, in Commission Decision No. 67886. The Arsenic HUF Tariff authorizes Little Park to charge an Arsenic HUF for each new service connection, with the Arsenic HUF to be treated as CIAC to pay for arsenic treatment facilities. The Arsenic HUF per service connection ranges from \$1,650 to \$66,000, depending on meter size. The Agreement states that Little Park will charge the School an Arsenic HUF of \$66,000.
 - According to ADEQ, the EPA MCL for arsenic is designed to protect the public health 31.

27 28

DECISION NO.

According to an ADEQ Fact Sheet, when the EPA changed the MCL for arsenic, it also newly applied the arsenic MCL to non-transient, non-community water systems such as stand-alone schools and businesses. ADEQ Fact Sheet: Arsenic Information for Arizona Public Water Systems, Pub. No. FS 05-19.

by reducing exposure to high levels of arsenic in water, which has been linked to both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic diseases. (ADEQ Fact Sheet: Arsenic Information for Arizona Public Water Systems, Pub. No. FS 05-19.)

- 32. As of April 2007, the arsenic level for the water from each of Little Park's wells was 23 ppb. (Tr. at 18, lines 13-17.)
- 33. Marlin Scott, Jr., Utilities Engineer for the Commission's Utilities Division, testified that ADEQ has established a December 31, 2007, deadline for water systems to come into compliance with the EPA MCL for arsenic. (Tr. at 58, lines 4-9; Tr. at 61, lines 5-9.)
- 34. As of the hearing date, Little Park had not commenced construction of any arsenic treatment facilities, (Tr. at 42, lines 11-14), although Little Park had collected \$16,500 in Arsenic HUFs, (Tr. at 20, lines 2-3).
- obtain from ADEQ an exemption from the arsenic MCL, (Tr. at 31, lines 16-19), which will extend Little Park's time to comply, (A.A.C. R18-4-111(B)). On August 29, 2007, Little Park submitted a letter to ADEQ requesting that ADEQ grant Little Park an exemption from the arsenic MCL pursuant to A.A.C. R18-4-111⁴. (Tr. at 19, lines 2-8.) Little Park submitted a follow-up letter to ADEQ on November 5, 2007. (Tr. at 19, lines 8-10.) Although Little Park had not received a response from ADEQ as of the hearing date, Mr. Gudovic testified that he had a "strong belief" that Little Park would obtain the exemption by the end of 2007. (Tr. at 19, lines 10-13.)
- 36. Little Park has not filed with Docket Control a copy of any exemption from the arsenic MCL issued by ADEQ.

⁴ A.A.C. R18-4-111 allows ADEQ to grant a public water system an exemption from a MCL if the public water system demonstrates, among other things, that it is unable to comply with the MCL because of compelling factors, which may include economic factors, and that the grant of an exemption will not result in an unreasonable risk to public health. (A.A.C. R18-4-111(A).) ADEQ is required to provide written notice of its preliminary decision to grant or deny an exemption within 90 days after receipt of a request. (A.A.C. R18-4-111(G).) If the preliminary decision is to grant an exemption, the public water system must provide public notice of the preliminary decision to persons served by the public water system. (*Id.*) In addition, ADEQ must provide notice and an opportunity for a hearing on a proposed exemption. (A.A.C. R18-4-111(H).) ADEQ may condition the granting of an exemption from a MCL on the public water system's using bottled water, a point-of-use treatment device, or a point-of-entry treatment device to avoid an unreasonable risk to health. (A.A.C. R18-4-111(J).) When ADEQ grants an exemption, ADEQ also establishes a schedule for the public water system to come into compliance with the MCL through the installation of treatment or the development of an alternate source and establishes any interim control measures deemed necessary. (A.A.C. R18-4-111(B).)

- 37. Mr. Gudovic testified that the current estimated cost to equip the first well with arsenic treatment facilities is approximately \$100,000. (Tr. at 20, lines 17-21.) If the cost of the arsenic treatment facilities for the first well exceeds the Arsenic HUFs collected to date and to be collected from the School, Little Park intends to fund any shortfall with the company's equity or through debt from the local bank. (Tr. at 30, lines 2-13.) Staff believes that Little Park could make up the shortfall either through financing or an additional investment in Little Park by the owner. (Tr. at 65, lines 16-21.) Linda Jaress, Executive Consultant III for the Commission's Utilities Division, also testified that there are Water Infrastructure and Finance Authority of Arizona ("WIFA") loans available for arsenic treatment. (Tr. at 65, lines 19-20.)
- 38. Mr. Gudovic testified that Little Park has not yet applied to ADEQ for an Approval to Construct for arsenic treatment facilities, but intends to do so after the Agreement is approved by the Commission in a separate docket and the Arsenic HUF is collected from the School. (Tr. at 20, lines 22-25; Tr. at 21, lines 1-5.) Mr. Gudovic estimates that Little Park will apply to ADEQ for an Approval to Construct in March or April of 2008 and that the arsenic treatment facilities would take approximately six months to complete and should be finished in the third quarter of 2008. (Tr. at 21, lines 6-15.)
- 39. Mr. Gudovic testified that Little Park does not currently have the funds to provide arsenic treatment facilities for the second well, the cost for which he estimated at \$100,000. (Tr. at 27, lines 20-21.)
- 40. Mr. Gudovic testified that the closest water utility service, Arizona Water Company, does not have sufficient capacity to provide water to blend with Little Park's water to lower the arsenic level for the water provided to Little Park's customers, because Little Park's system is connected to Big Park's system, which has more than 3,000 customers. (Tr. at 33, lines 1-12.) Mr. Gudovic testified that because the area between Little Park's CC&N area and the Arizona Water Company CC&N area is U.S. Forest Preserve land owned by the Bureau of Land Management, it would be almost impossible to interconnect the two, and the cost of doing so would exceed the cost of the arsenic treatment facilities for the second well. (Tr. at 40, lines 5-20.) Mr. Gudovic also testified that there is no other water system near Little Park that could be interconnected. (Tr. at 34,

mes

lines 20-25; Tr. at 35, lines 1-3.)

- 41. Little Park does not currently provide its customers with bottled water. Mr. Gudovic testified that, although customers are aware of the arsenic in the water, he has not received any complaints from Little Park's or Big Park's customers concerning the arsenic levels of the water being provided, and customers are supportive of what Little Park is doing in the Village of Oak Creek. (Tr. at 36, lines 3-19.)
- 42. Mr. Gudovic testified that blending treated water from the first well with the untreated water from the second well to serve customers during the peak demand summer months would result in substantially lower arsenic levels, although the level still might be above the arsenic MCL of 10 ppb. (Tr. at 41, lines 10-23.)
- 43. Mr. Scott testified that most of the water companies in the geographic area in which Little Park is located have sources that exceed the arsenic MCL and that the only option is to treat the water to bring the arsenic level below the MCL. (Tr. at 52, lines 1-14.) Mr. Scott also testified that only two of the water systems in the area are currently trying to meet the arsenic MCL through treatment. (Tr. at 59, lines 1-6.)
- 44. Staff does not believe that it is in the public interest for the Commission to give its final approval of the requested CC&N extension until Little Park has either resolved its arsenic problem or received an exemption for the arsenic MCL from ADEQ.
- 45. Ms. Jaress testified that granting Little Park the CC&N extension will put Little Park in a better position to utilize its Arsenic HUF Tariff and will help to ensure that the School's arsenic situation is also remedied. (Tr. at 64, lines 4-15). If the Commission were to deny the CC&N extension, Ms. Jaress said, the School would be on its own to remedy its arsenic situation. (Tr. at 64, lines 8-11.) Ms. Jaress further testified that, in order to obtain a Final Order from the Commission, Little Park would have to address the arsenic issue either by receiving approval for and constructing the arsenic treatment plant or by obtaining an extension of time to meet the arsenic MCL standard from ADEQ. (Tr. at 64, line 25; Tr. at 65, lines 1-5.) Ms. Jaress added that she assumes ADEQ would not grant an extension if it were not safe to do so. (Tr. at 65, lines 5-7.)
 - 46. Mr. Gudovic testified that the owner of the School is in negotiations to sell a parcel of

the School's land to be used for a summer camp that would serve approximately 120 disabled children during the months when the School is closed. (Tr. at 24, lines 2-20; Tr. at 25, lines 4-11.) If this land sale occurs, Mr. Gudovic hopes that Little Park would also enter into a line extension agreement with, and thus would collect an Arsenic HUF from, the summer camp. (Tr. at 27, lines 23-25; Tr. at 28, lines 1-4.) Mr. Gudovic said that, depending on the size of the camp, the Arsenic HUF may be sufficient to finance arsenic treatment facilities for the second well. (Tr. at 28, lines 1-4.)

- 47. According to Staff, the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors approved a Use Permit for the School to construct the camp in August 2007. Staff stated that the camp is planned to serve children with special medical needs, serving approximately 120 campers and 60 staff and volunteers at one time. Staff also stated that the camp facilities would include a 1.5 acre lake, the water for which would be obtained from the School's own well.
- 48. Mr. Gudovic testified that, if Little Park enters into a line extension agreement to provide service to the summer camp, Little Park will only provide water for domestic purposes and fire protection. (Tr. at 24, lines 21-24.)
- 49. Mr. Gudovic testified that the second well's arsenic treatment system will not be ready for the summer of 2008, when it is anticipated that the summer camp will start. (Tr. at 28, lines 16-19.)

Staff's Recommendations

- 50. Ms. Jaress testified that Little Park is fit and proper to provide water utility services and that granting Little Park the requested CC&N extension would serve the public interest, (Tr. at 63, lines 8-15), because it should lead to remedying the arsenic situation for both Little Park and the School, (Tr. at 64, lines 4-11).
- 51. Mr. Scott testified that Staff recommends an Order Preliminary rather than denial of the CC&N extension because Staff believes that including the requirement for arsenic treatment in an Order is one way to have the high arsenic levels resolved. (Tr. at 60, lines 16-25; Tr. at 61, lines 1-4.)
- 52. Staff recommends that the Commission issue an Order Preliminary and require Little Park to comply with the following compliance items before a final CC&N extension is issued:
 - a. By December 31, 2008, file with the Commission's Docket Control a copy of

an ADEQ Certificate of Approval of Construction⁵ for the installation of the arsenic treatment systems for the two existing wells or a copy of an approved exemption from the arsenic MCL standard issued by ADEQ; and

- b. Within two years of the effective date of an Order in this proceeding, file with the Commission's Docket Control:
 - i. A letter indicating that the CC&N extension area's water consumption is reported with Little Park's annual Designation report to ADWR,
 - ii. A letter from ADWR stating that Little Park's Designation continues in effect, and
 - iii. A copy of an Approval to Construct issued by ADEQ for the plant facilities needed to serve the CC&N extension area.
- 53. Staff stated that Little Park should file a request for a Final Order from the Commission after satisfying the compliance items listed in Finding of Fact No. 52.

Other Recommendations

54. Although ADEQ may provide Little Park an exemption from the arsenic MCL that will extend Little Park's deadline for complying with the arsenic MCL, we find that Little Park should begin construction of the arsenic treatment systems for its two existing wells as soon as possible. We are concerned about adding customers, especially children, potentially even children with medical ailments, to Little Park's system when arsenic treatment has not yet begun. We are also concerned about Little Park's receiving substantial Arsenic HUF funds from the School, and potentially the Camp, and not immediately commencing construction of the arsenic treatment systems because the incentive to comply has been removed as the result of an ADEQ exemption. We also believe that Little Park should be required to provide evidence of having applied for a WIFA loan, or of having arranged another form of financing, to make up the estimated shortfall in funding for the arsenic treatment systems for both wells. Thus, we are modifying Staff's recommendation in Finding

⁵ ADEQ prohibits operation of a newly constructed facility until an Approval of Construction has been issued by ADEQ. A.A.C. R18-5-507(A). ADEQ will issue an Approval of Construction for a newly constructed public water system; an extension to an existing public water system; or any alteration of an existing public water system that affects its treatment, capacity, water quality, flow, distribution, or operational performance. *See* A.A.C. R18-507(B).

55.	In the event that ADI	EQ grants an exc	emption from the	e arsenic MO	CL and requi	res in the
exemption	that Little Park provide	bottled water to	o its customers,	Little Park	should file	a Bottled

- 56. Finally, we believe that Little Park's projected timeline for completion of the plant facilities to serve the CC&N extension area, as stated in Finding of Fact No. 16, is appropriate and should be followed so that the School will receive water that complies with the arsenic MCL as soon as possible.
- 57. Therefore, we find that Little Park should be required to file the following with the Commission's Docket Control, as compliance items, within the deadlines provided, before Little Park may file a request with the Commission to receive a Final Order for a CC&N extension:
 - By May 1, 2008, a copy of ADEQ's approval or denial of an exemption from a. the arsenic MCL:
 - b. By May 1, 2008, if ADEO has granted an exemption and required therein that Little Park provide bottled water to its customers, a Bottled Water Tariff for Staff review and approval, to be filed in a separate docket, with a copy to this docket;
 - By May 1, 2008, either of the following to demonstrate how Little Park intends to fund construction of the arsenic treatment systems for both wells:
 - i. An application for Commission approval of financing under A.R.S. § 40-285 along with evidence of having applied for a WIFA loan or another form of financing, to be filed in a separate docket, with a copy to this docket; or
 - ii. A document evidencing an equity infusion by the owner, to be filed in this docket:
 - d. By June 1, 2008:
 - i. A copy of an Approval to Construct issued by ADEQ for the arsenic treatment systems for both wells, and
 - A copy of an Approval to Construct issued by ADEQ for the plant ii. facilities needed to serve the CC&N extension area;

e. By December 31, 2008:

- i. A copy of a Certificate of Approval of Construction issued by ADEQ for the installation of the arsenic treatment systems for the two existing wells, and
- ii. A copy of a Certificate of Approval of Construction issued by ADEQ for the plant facilities needed to serve the CC&N extension area; and
- f. By May 1, 2010:
- i. A letter showing that the CC&N extension area's water consumption is reported with Little Park's annual Designation report to ADWR, and
- ii. A letter from ADWR stating that Little Park's Designation continues in effect.
- 58. Because an allowance for property tax expense is included in Little Park's rates and will be collected from its customers, the Commission seeks assurances from Little Park that any taxes collected from ratepayers have been remitted to the appropriate taxing authority. It has come to the Commission's attention that a number of water companies have been unwilling or unable to fulfill their obligation to pay the taxes that were collected from ratepayers, some for as many as 20 years. It is reasonable, therefore, that as a preventive measure Little Park shall annually file, as part of its annual report, an affidavit with the Utilities Division attesting that Little Park is current in paying its property taxes in Arizona.
- 59. In recent months, the Commission has become increasingly concerned about the prolonged drought in Central Arizona. Therefore, we believe Little Park should be required to conserve groundwater and that Little Park should be prohibited from selling groundwater for the purpose of irrigating any future golf courses within the certificated expansion area or any ornamental lakes or water features located in the common areas of the proposed new development within the certificated expansion areas.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Little Park is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. § 40-281.
 - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Little Park and the subject matter of the

1 application.

- 3. Notice of the application was provided in accordance with the law.
- 4. There is a public need and necessity for water utility services in the requested CC&N extension area described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.
- 5. Little Park is a fit and proper entity to receive an Order Preliminary to provide water utility service in the requested CC&N extension area described in Exhibit A.
- 6. The requirements set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 57-59 are reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-282, Little Park Water Company, Inc., is granted an Order Preliminary allowing it to provide water utility service in the area described in Exhibit A, conditioned upon compliance with the requirements in Finding of Fact No. 57.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon satisfying the requirements of Finding of Fact No. 57, Little Park Water Company, Inc. shall file in this docket a motion for the issuance of a Final Order for approval of an extension of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity authorizing it to provide water utility service in the area described in Exhibit A.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, upon the Motion of Little Park Water Company, Inc., and verification by Staff that Little Park Water Company, Inc., has complied with the requirements contained in Finding of Fact No. 57, Staff shall prepare for Commission approval and docket a Final Order granting Little Park Water Company, Inc., an extension of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for water utility services to include the area described in Exhibit A.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Little Park Water Company, Inc., shall charge its authorized rates and charges in the area described in Exhibit A.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Little Park Water Company, Inc., shall annually file, as part of its annual report, an affidavit with the Utilities Division attesting that it is current on paying its property taxes in Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in light of the ongoing drought conditions in Central

1	Arizona and the need to conserve groundwater, Little Park Water Company, Inc., is prohibited from				
2	selling groundwater for the purpose of irrigating any future golf courses within the certificated				
3	expansion area or any ornamental lakes or water features located in the common areas of the				
4	proposed new development within	proposed new development within the certificated expansion area.			
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.				
6	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.				
7					
8					
9	CHAIRMAN		COMMISSIONER		
10					
11	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER		
12		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, D	SEAN S MILLER Interim		
13		Executive Director of the Arizon have hereunto set my hand and ca	na Corporation Commission,		
14		Commission to be affixed at the Cathis day of, 200	apitol, in the City of Phoenix,		
15		tills day of, 200	70.		
16					
17		DEAN S. MILLER			
18		INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECT	OR		
19					
20	DIGGENIT				
21	DISSENT				
22	DIGGENIT				
23	DISSENT				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

DECISION NO.

$1 \mid$	SERVICE LIST FOR:	LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY, INC.
2		
3	DOCKET NO.:	W-02192A-07-0326
4	Did tr C 11 ' 4	
5	Richard L. Sallquist SALLQUIST, DRUMMOND & O'CONNO 4500 South Lakeshore Drive, Suite 339	OR, P.C.
6	Tempe, AZ 85282	
7	Attorney for Little Park Water Company, Ir	ac.
8	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division	
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street	ON
10	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
11	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division	
12	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	ON
13	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	·	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

EXHIBIT A

DESCRIPTION OF RESIDENTIAL PARCEL AT VERDE VALLEY SCHOOL, 3511 VERDE VALLEY SCHOOL ROAD, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

A portion of the South half of Section 35, Township 17 North, Range 5 East and a portion of the North half of Section 10, Township 16 North, Range 5 East of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona, being more particularly described as follows:

COMMENCING at a USDAFS Aluminum Cap set in concrete marking the Northwest comer of the Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section 35 from which a USDAFS Aluminum Cap marking the Southwest comer of the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section 35 bears South 00 degrees 53 minutes 55 seconds West 2057.45 feet said line being the basis of bearings for this description;

THENCE South 89 degrees 02 minutes 05 seconds East 1439.52 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING:

THENCE continuing South 89 degrees 02 minutes 05 seconds East 477.24 feet to the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the right the center of which bears South 05 degrees 36 minutes 30 seconds West 368.30 feet;

THENCE along the arc of said non-tangent curve to the left through a central angle of 60 degrees 38 minutes 34 seconds, an arc distance of 389.81 feet;

THENCE South 23 degrees 44 minutes 59 seconds East 6.36 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the left having a radius of 1382.40 feet;

THENCE along the arc of said curve through a central angle of 11 degrees 17 minutes 40 seconds, an arc distance of 272.51 feet;

THENCE South 35 degrees 02 minutes 39 seconds East 244.68 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the right having a radius of 622.96 feet;

THENCE along the arc of said curve through a central angle of 15 degrees 33 minutes 55 seconds, an arc distance of 169.24 feet;

THENCE South 19 degrees 28 minutes 44 seconds East 570.56 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the right having a radius of 299.11 feet;

THENCE along the arc of said curve through a central angle

of 26 degrees 46 minutes 10 seconds, an arc distance of

139.75 feet;

THENCE South 07 degrees 17 minutes 26 seconds West

169,75 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the left

having a radius of 268.31 feet;

THENCE along the arc of said curve through a central angle

of 43 degrees 25 minutes 05 seconds, an arc distance of 203.32 feet;

DECISION NO.

DESCRIPTION OF RESIDENTIAL PARCEL AT VERDE VALLEY SCHOOL, 3511 VERDE VALLEY SCHOOL ROAD, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

THENCE South 36 degrees 07 minutes 39 seconds East 217.00 feet to the South line of the Southeast quarter of said Section 35;

THENCE South 89 degrees 58 minutes 04 seconds West 28.72 feet along said South line;

THENCE South 00 degrees 08 minutes 18 seconds East 298.94 feet to the Southeast corner of GLO Lot 5 of said Section 10;

THENCE South 89 degrees 49 minutes 54 seconds West 1319.45 feet along the South line of said Lot 5 to the Southeast corner of GLO Lot 6 of said Section 10;

THENCE South 89 degrees 48 minutes 13 seconds West 329.86 feet along the South line of said Lot 6;

THENCE South 00 degrees 12 minutes 21 seconds East 330.55 feet;

THENCE South 89 degrees 47 minutes 38 seconds West 516.92 feet;

THENCE North 00 degrees 31 minutes 17 seconds East 330.67 feet;

THENCE North 89 degrees 48 minutes 13 seconds East 217.28 feet to the Southerly extension of the North-South Mid-Section line of said Section 35;

THENCE North 00 degrees 31 minutes 17 seconds East 978.04 feet along said Mid-Section line;

THENCE North 61 degrees 30 minutes 14 seconds East 524.32 feet;

THENCE North 00 degrees 31 minutes 17 seconds East 1107.77 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

COMPRISING 78.790 acres or 3,432,075 square feet more or less, subject to all easements of record.

DESCRIPTION OF SCHOOL PARCEL FOR VERDE VALLEY SCHOOL, 3511 VERDE VALLEY SCHOOL ROAD, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

A portion of the South half of Section 35, Township 17 North, Range 5 East and a portion of the North half of Section 10, Township 16 North, Range 5 East of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona, being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a USDAFS Aluminum Cap set in concrete marking the Northwest corner of the Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section 35 from which a USDAFS Aluminum Cap marking the Southwest corner of the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section 35 bears South 00 degrees 53 minutes 55 seconds West 2057.45 feet said line being the basis of bearings for this description;

THENCE South 89 degrees 02 minutes 05 seconds East 1439.52 feet;

THENCE South 00 degrees 31 minutes 17 seconds West 1107.77 feet,

THENCE South 61 degrees 30 minutes 14 seconds West 524.32 feet to the North-South Mid-Section line of said Section 35;

THENCE South 00 degrees 31 minutes 17 seconds West 978.04 feet along said North-South Mid-Section line and its Southerly extension to the South line of GLO Lot 6 of said Section 10;

THENCE South 89 degrees 48 minutes 13 seconds West 217.28 feet along said South line;

THENCE South 00 degrees 31 minutes 17 seconds West 330.67 feet,

THENCE South 89 degrees 47 minutes 38 seconds West 472.43 feet;

THENCE South 89 degrees 48 minutes 06 seconds West 330.34 feet;

THENCE North 00 degrees 12 minutes 34 seconds West 637.14 feet to the Northeast corner of GLO Lot 7 of said Section 10;

THENCE North 89 degrees 56 minutes 45 seconds East 33.58 feet along the North line of said GLO Lot 7 to a USDAFS Aluminum Cap marking the Southwest corner of the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section 35;

THENCE North 00 degrees 53 minutes 55 seconds East 2057.45 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

COMPRISING 72.769 acres or 3,169,833 square feet more or less, subject to all easements of record.

COUNTY: Yavapai

RANGE 5 East North

DECISION NO.

W-1445 (5)(3) Arizona Water Company (Sedona) W-1624 (2) **Big Park Water Company** W-4131 (1) Cross Creek Ranch Water Company W-2192 (2) Little Park Water Company W-2624 (1) Michaels Ranch Water Users' Assoc. W-1392 (1) Oak Creek Water Company No. 1 & Sewer 1 WS-3449 (1) **MHC Operating Limited Partnership** dba Sedona Venture Water & Sewer Company W-4291 (1) **Aerie Conservancy** Adjudicated 'Not a Public Service Corporation'

City of Sedona (Nonjurisdictional)

(1)

Red Rock Crossing Mobile Village, Inc.

(1)
Red Rock Water Cooperative, Inc.

(2)
Little Park Water Company
Docket No. W-02192A-07-0326
Application for Extension

Adjudicated 'Not a Public Service Corporation'

(Nonjurisdictional)